David Scott Easom 1/17/2023

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

```
SCOTT EASOM, ADRIAN HOWARD, $
and JOHN NAU, on behalf of $
themselves and on behalf of $
all others similarly situated, $
Plaintiffs, $CIVIL ACTION NO. $
4:20-CV-02995

V. $
US WELL SERVICES, LLC, $
Defendant. $
```

ORAL DEPOSITION OF DAVID SCOTT EASOM

JANUARY 17, 2023

VOLUME 1 OF 1

ORAL DEPOSITION OF DAVID SCOTT EASOM, produced at the instance of Defendant and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 17th day of January, 2023, from 12:32 p.m. until 4:22 p.m., before Carol S. Temperton, CSR, in and for the State of Texas, reported by stenograph machine, at the offices of McDonald Worley, P.C., 1770 St. James Place, Suite 420, Houston, Harris County, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record.

A Both, actually, because the shop that was there was in Bryan; and that was the main base was the Bryan facility, for me.

- Q And how far away did you actually live, like your home, from the Bryan main base facility?
 - A It's probably 15 miles.
- Q Okay. And then how far away were the pads?

 And by the way, when we say pad, when you say pad, is
 the pad where you're actually doing the fracking?
 - A Yes.

- Q Is the pad a customer's site?
- A Yes. It's a -- so we'll say it's either customer site for Wild Horse or Apache, or I forgot who bought out Wild Horse. The place up in Oklahoma. I cannot think of the name of that company. But those pads are leases owned by those companies. The property, per se, belongs to somebody else.
 - Q Always or most of the time?
- A Yes. Those properties belong to either large farms or somebody else. They aren't particularly owned by the oil company themselves. They are, say, a 100-year lease by the oil company; but those mineral rights belong to whoever owns that land or whoever previously owned that land. You know, those -- you know, that stuff doesn't necessarily belong to the oil

David Scott Easom 1/17/2023

	118
1	location.
2	Q If it was the San Angelo location, would you
3	have been in a hotel or a man camp?
4	A A man camp.
5	Q The next paragraph says, "Each of defendant's
6	facilities, including the Bryan, Texas location, the
7	Jane Lew, West Virginia location that served as the
8	base of operations from which I was dispatched to do
9	work were independently large enough to be covered by
10	the WARN Act because defendant had over 100 employees
11	at each location."
12	How do you know that they had over 100
13	employees in each location?
14	THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer
15	that?
16	A Okay. Just based off
17	MR. YEZIERSKI: To the best of your
18	ability, yeah.
19	A Okay. So I know the Bryan, Pleasanton, and
20	San or Bryan, Pleasanton, San Angelo, yeah, all
21	three of those have over 100 employees. The Jane Lew,
22	not really sure how many employees they got. They have
23	a lot, but I don't know the exact number at those.
24	Q (BY MR. KORN) Okay. Paragraph 16 says, "If I
25	had received advance notice of the mass layoff that

David Scott Easom 1/17/2023

120 1 Α Yes, sir. It then says, "I" -- this is number 17, third 2 3 sentence, "I was informed that I, along with numerous other employees, were being let go effective 4 immediately." 5 Who were you referring to in that 6 7 conversation with --The other four employees that were electronic 8 9 technicians. And I can't recall if I asked you, were those 10 technicians on different fleets? 11 MR. YEZIERSKI: For the record, objection 12 13 to form. You have asked that already. But you feel free to answer it again if 14 15 you want. Yeah, I'm going to say yes, it's other fleets, 16 Α 17 so yeah. It says -- number 18 says, "To 18 0 (BY MR. KORN) my knowledge, most, if not all, of the Similarly 19 Situated Employees were terminated in a similar manner 20 and at approximately the same time." You don't have 21 22 knowledge of that, other than the handful of people that you talked to when you went to return your stuff, 23 right? Other than those people, you don't have 24 25 knowledge of that, do you?

David Scott Easom 1/17/2023

A Yeah. As far as -- so I guess on that statement, it would be the other four electronic technicians that were terminated at the same time as me. And then as returning my equipment back to the company, talking to those other employees, learning that they had been terminated at the same time. So that would be -- I guess that's a correct statement as far as that goes.

- Q Can you turn to Exhibit 14?
- 10 A Okay.

- Q I'm going to take a very short break.
- 12 A All right.
- 13 | Q Very short.

14 (Brief recess from 3:56 p.m. to 3:59 p.m.)

Q (BY MR. KORN) Okay. We're now in Exhibit 14, Plaintiff's Responses to Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories. And if you turn to the second page — I'm going to be kind of jumping around through this real quick. But this attributes you to saying certain people might have knowledge of information. Some of them you've already said, so I'm going to go through it real quick. Other people I'm going to be, like, "Who are they?" just to kind of get a quick response.

Paul Ralph Seagers, that's the supervisor guy, right?